

Guidance for Sponsors, Clinical Investigators, and IRBs

Data Retention When Subjects Withdraw from FDA-Regulated Clinical Trials

Additional copies are available from:

*Good Clinical Practice Program, HF-34
Office of Science & Health Coordination, Office of the Commissioner
Food and Drug Administration
5600 Fishers Lane
Rockville, MD 20857
(Tel) (301)-827-3340
<http://www.fda.gov/oc/gcp/draft.html>*

**U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
Food and Drug Administration
Office of the Commissioner (OC)
Good Clinical Practice Program (GCPP)**

October 2008

FDA-2008-D-0576

GDL

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. BACKGROUND	1
III. DISCUSSION	3
IV. FDA POLICY	5

1 **Guidance for Sponsors, Clinical Investigators, and IRBs¹**
2 **Data Retention When Subjects Withdraw from FDA-**
3 **Regulated Clinical Trials**
4

5
6 This guidance represents the Food and Drug Administration's (FDA's) current thinking on this
7 topic. It does not create or confer any rights for or on any person and does not operate to bind
8 FDA or the public. You can use an alternative approach if the approach satisfies the requirements
9 of the applicable statutes and regulations. If you want to discuss an alternative approach, contact
10 the appropriate FDA staff. If you cannot identify the appropriate FDA staff, call the appropriate
11 telephone number listed on the title page of this guidance.
12

13
14 **I. INTRODUCTION**
15

16 This guidance is intended for sponsors, clinical investigators and institutional
17 review boards (IRBs). It describes the Food and Drug Administration's (FDA)
18 longstanding policy that already-accrued data, relating to individuals who cease
19 participating in a study, are to be maintained as part of the study data. This pertains to
20 data from individuals who decide to discontinue participation in a study, who are
21 withdrawn by their legally authorized representative, as applicable, or who are
22 discontinued from participation by the clinical investigator. This policy is supported by
23 the statutes and regulations administered by FDA as well as ethical and quality standards
24 applicable to clinical research. Maintenance of these records includes, as with all study
25 records, safeguarding the privacy and confidentiality of the subject's information.
26

27 FDA's guidance documents, including this guidance, do not establish legally
28 enforceable responsibilities. Instead, guidances describe the Agency's current thinking
29 on a topic and should be viewed only as recommendations, unless specific regulatory or
30 statutory requirements are cited. The use of the word *should* in Agency guidances means
31 that something is suggested or recommended, but not required.
32

33 **II. BACKGROUND**
34

35 The Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the act) authorizes the study of an
36 investigational product to develop safety and effectiveness data about the product. The
37 act also requires the maintenance of records documenting these data and the submission
38 of certain reports regarding this use to FDA.^{2, 3} FDA (by delegation from the Secretary)

¹ This guidance document was developed by the Good Clinical Practice Program and the Office of the Chief Counsel (OCC), both in the Office of the Commissioner (OC), FDA.

² The investigational new drug provisions of the act condition use of such drugs upon, for example, "the establishment and maintenance of such records, and the making of such

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

39 implemented these provisions by issuing regulations relating to investigational drugs, the
40 Investigational New Drug (IND) regulations at 21 CFR Part 312, and investigational
41 devices, the Investigational Device Exemptions (IDE) regulations at 21 CFR Part 812.
42 These regulations specify that data collection and maintenance are indispensable
43 requirements when conducting a clinical investigation of an unapproved product.
44

45 For example, the IND regulations require investigators "... to prepare and
46 maintain adequate and accurate case histories that record all observations and other data
47 pertinent to the investigation on each individual administered the investigational drug or
48 employed as a control in the investigation" (21 CFR 312.62(b)). Similarly, the IDE
49 regulations require an investigator to maintain "Records of each subject's case history and
50 exposure to the device" (21 CFR 812.140(a)(3)).
51

52 Additionally, the regulations relating to the submission of marketing applications
53 require the submission of all relevant data in order for FDA to determine whether a
54 product meets the standard for approval. A new drug application (NDA) must include a
55 description and an analysis of each clinical pharmacology study and controlled clinical
56 study, a description of each uncontrolled trial, and an integrated summary of all available
57 information about the safety of the drug product (21 CFR 314.50(d)(5)) and "copies of
58 individual case report forms for each patient who died during a clinical study or who did
59 not complete the study because of an adverse event, whether believed to be drug related
60 or not, including patients receiving reference drugs or placebo" (21 CFR 314.50(f)(2)).
61 Similarly, an application for premarket approval (PMA) for a device must include "safety
62 and effectiveness data, adverse reactions and complications, patient discontinuation,
63 patient complaints, device failures and replacements, tabulations of data from all
64 individual subject report forms and copies of such forms for each subject who died during
65 a clinical investigation or who did not complete the investigation" (21 CFR
66 814.20(b)(6)(ii)). Likewise, an application for a biologics license application (BLA)
67 must include data derived from nonclinical laboratory and clinical studies which
68 demonstrate that the manufactured product is safe, pure, and potent (21 CFR 601.2(a)).
69

reports to the Secretary, by the manufacturer or the sponsor of the investigation of such drug, of data (including but not limited to analytical reports by investigators) obtained as the result of such investigational use of such drug, as the Secretary finds will enable him to evaluate the safety and effectiveness of such drug in the event of the filing of an application pursuant to subsection (b)." 21 USC 355(i)(1)(C).

³ The investigational device provisions similarly require "that the person applying for an exemption for a device assure the establishment and maintenance of such records, and the making of such reports to the Secretary of data obtained as a result of the investigational use of the device during the exemption, as the Secretary determines will enable him to assure compliance with such conditions, review the progress of the investigation, and evaluate the safety and effectiveness of the device." 21 USC 360j(g)(2)(B)(ii).

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

70 FDA law and regulations require the collection and maintenance of complete
71 clinical study data. This includes information on subjects who withdraw from a clinical
72 investigation, whether the subject decides to discontinue participation in the clinical trial
73 (21 CFR 50.25(a)(8)) or is discontinued by the investigator because the subject no longer
74 qualifies under the protocol (for example, due to a significant adverse event or due to
75 failure to cooperate with study requirements). FDA recognizes that a subject may
76 withdraw from a study; however, the withdrawal does not extend to the data already
77 obtained during the time the subject was enrolled. FDA's longstanding policy has been
78 that all data collected up to the point of withdrawal must be maintained in the database
79 and included in subsequent analyses, as appropriate.⁴

80

81 **III. DISCUSSION**

82

83 FDA law and regulations recognize that a complete and accurate risk/benefit
84 profile of an investigational product depends upon the data from every subject's
85 experience in the clinical trial. For example, if a subject's data could be withdrawn from
86 a study, a sponsor would not have access to data on adverse events experienced by the
87 subject and would be unable to evaluate whether changes to the protocol or the informed
88 consent documents are needed to ensure the rights, safety, and welfare of other trial
89 subjects.⁵

⁴ FDA previously addressed the topic of data withdrawal in the preamble to the 1996 final rule providing an exception from informed consent requirements for emergency research, 21 CFR 50.24. In response to a comment that a subject's legally authorized representative should be allowed to prevent the review of the subject's data, FDA stated: "FDA regulations (see, for example, Sec. 312.62 and Sec. 812.140(a)(3)) require investigators to prepare and maintain adequate case histories recording all observations and other data pertinent to the investigation on each individual treated with the drug or exposed to the device. The agency needs all such data in order to be able to determine the safety and effectiveness of the drug or device. The fact of having been in an investigation cannot be taken back. Also, if a subject were able to control the use (inclusion and exclusion) of his or her data, and particularly if the clinical investigation were not blinded, the bias potential would be immense. Thus, the agency rejects this comment because it could prevent FDA from learning of an important effect of the product and significantly bias the results of the investigation" ("see comment 95, 61 *Federal Register* 51498, 51519, October 2, 1996). It should be appreciated that FDA's response applies to the most potentially difficult situation, that is, studies involving an exception from the informed consent requirements in which subjects, due to a life threatening medical condition, are unable to provide informed consent to participate in the study. Subjects may subsequently withdraw from such studies, but the data collected up to withdrawal may not be removed.

⁵ Such review of safety data by sponsors is required by 21 CFR 312.56 and 21 CFR 812.46.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99

The validity of a clinical study would also be compromised by the exclusion of data collected during the study. There is long-standing concern with the removal of data, particularly when removal is non-random, a situation called “informative censoring.” FDA has long advised “intent-to-treat” analyses (analyzing data related to all subjects the investigator intended to treat), and a variety of approaches for interpretation and imputation of missing data have been developed to maintain study validity.⁶ Complete removal of data, possibly in a non-random or informative way, raises great concerns about the validity of the study.

100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107

There is particular concern with a study’s reliability when subjects withdraw their data in a non-random way because they are unhappy with their experience, either because they failed to obtain a desired effect or suffered an adverse event. Loss of these subjects’ data could greatly distort effectiveness results and could hide important safety information (for example, toxicity) of a poorly tolerated treatment. Allowing subjects to withdraw data could even provide an opportunity for unscrupulous parties to “improve” study results by selectively encouraging certain subjects to withdraw from a study.

108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117

The importance of ensuring the scientific validity of clinical research is reflected not only in FDA’s regulations but in international documents and published literature as well. The International Conference on Harmonization of Technical Requirements for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use (ICH), in which FDA participates, identifies as a principle of good clinical practice that “clinical trials should be scientifically sound.”⁷ Other international guidance documents include similar statements, such as the *International Ethical Guidelines for Biomedical Research Involving Human Subjects*, Guideline 1, which states “scientifically invalid research is unethical in that it exposes research subjects to risks without possible benefit ...”⁸

118
119

Published literature on medical research ethics, dating back to the Nuremberg Code of 1947,⁹ also emphasizes the importance of scientific validity. As maintained by

⁶ For a discussion of problems presented by missing data in the analysis of clinical trials, please see “Points to Consider on Missing Data” from the Committee for Proprietary Medicinal Products of the European Medicines Agency (EMA), <http://www.emea.europa.eu/pdfs/human/ewp/177699EN.pdf>.

⁷ <http://www.fda.gov/cder/guidance/959fnl.pdf>. ICH E6 Guidance for Industry, “Good Clinical Practice: Consolidated Guidance,” adopted as official guidance by FDA, Section 2.5.

⁸ http://www.cioms.ch/frame_guidelines_nov_2002.htm. These guidelines are published by the Council of International Organizations for Medical Sciences (CIOMS). Guideline 11 reiterates this principle.

⁹ The Nuremberg Code: <http://ohsr.od.nih.gov/guidelines/nuremberg.html>

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

120 Emanuel, et. al., "For a clinical research protocol to be ethical, the methods must be valid
121 and practically feasible: the research must have a clear scientific objective; be designed
122 using accepted principles, methods, and reliable practices; have sufficient power to
123 definitively test the objective; and offer a plausible data analysis plan."¹⁰ The importance
124 of scientific validity to ethical research is also underscored in modern ethical documents,
125 such as the Declaration of Helsinki¹¹ and the Belmont Report, issued in 1979 by the
126 National Commission for the Protection of Human Subjects of Biomedical and
127 Behavioral Research.¹²

128
129 In summary, data collected on study subjects up to the time of withdrawal must
130 remain in the trial database in order for the study to be scientifically valid. If a subject
131 withdraws from a study, removal of already collected data would undermine the
132 scientific, and therefore the ethical, integrity of the research. Such removal of data could
133 also put enrolled subjects, future subjects, and eventual users of marketed products at an
134 unreasonable risk. Finally, removal of data would fundamentally compromise FDA's
135 ability to perform its mission, to protect public health and safety by ensuring the safety
136 and effectiveness of regulated products.

137 138 **IV. FDA POLICY**

139
140 Following are key points regarding FDA's policy on the withdrawal of subjects
141 from a clinical investigation, whether the subject elects to discontinue further
142 interventions or the clinical investigator terminates the subject's participation in further
143 interventions:
144

¹⁰ Emanuel, EJ, Wendler, D, and Grady, C., "What Makes Clinical Research Ethical?"
JAMA 283:20 (May 24/31, 2000 2701-11).

¹¹ "Medical research involving human subjects must conform to generally accepted
scientific principles, be based on a thorough knowledge of the scientific literature, other
relevant sources of information, and on adequate laboratory and, where appropriate,
animal experimentation." The Declaration of Helsinki (2000) (as amended 2002, 2004)
<http://www.wma.net/e/policy/b3.htm>.

¹² The Belmont Report addresses the connection between scientific validity and ethics
through the Principle of Beneficence. Beneficence has two complementary aspects:
maximizing possible benefits and minimizing possible harms. The Report recognizes as
one of the components of maximizing benefits, "In the case of scientific research in
general, members of the larger society are obliged to recognize the longer term benefits
and risks that may result from the improvement of knowledge and from the development
of novel medical, psychotherapeutic, and social procedures."
<http://ohsr.od.nih.gov/guidelines/belmont.html>

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

- 145 • According to FDA regulations, when a subject withdraws from a study, the data
146 collected on the subject to the point of withdrawal remains part of the study database
147 and may not be removed.
148
- 149 • An investigator may ask a subject who is withdrawing whether the subject wishes to
150 provide continued follow-up and further data collection subsequent to their
151 withdrawal from the interventional portion of the study. Under this circumstance, the
152 discussion with the subject would distinguish between study-related interventions and
153 continued follow-up of associated clinical outcome information, such as medical
154 course or laboratory results obtained through non-invasive chart review, and address
155 the maintenance of privacy and confidentiality of the subject's information.
156
- 157 • If a subject withdraws from the interventional portion of the study, but agrees to
158 continued follow-up of associated clinical outcome information as described in the
159 previous bullet, the investigator must obtain the subject's informed consent for this
160 limited participation in the study (assuming such a situation was not described in the
161 original informed consent form). In accordance with FDA regulations, IRB approval
162 of informed consent documents would be required (21 CFR 50.25, 56.109(b), 312.60,
163 312.66, 812.100).
164
- 165 • If a subject withdraws from the interventional portion of a study and does not consent
166 to continued follow-up of associated clinical outcome information, the investigator
167 must not access for purposes related to the study the subject's medical record or other
168 confidential records requiring the subject's consent. However, an investigator may
169 review study data related to the subject collected prior to the subject's withdrawal
170 from the study, and may consult public records, such as those establishing survival
171 status.
172